



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Monday, the Seventeenth day of February Two Thousand Twenty

PRESENT

WEB COPY

The Hon`ble Mrs.Justice T.KRISHNAVALLI

CRL MP(MD) No.1401 of 2020

IN

CRL A(MD) No.79 of 2020

PATTU @ JOHN PET

... PETITIONER/ APPELLANT

Vs

STATE REP.BY
THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SEIDUNGANALLUR POLICE STATION,
THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
CRIME NO.120/2016

... RESPONDENT/ RESPONDENT

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the petition filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to Suspend the sentence of imprisonment passed by the learned the II - Additional District and Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi in S.C.No.19 of 2018 dated 03/02/2020, pending disposal of the main Crl.A. and thus render justice.

Order : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/S.B.N.RAJA MOHAMED, Advocate for the petitioner and of Mr.V.NEELAKANDAN, Additional Public Prosecutor on behalf of the Respondent, While admitting the CRL.A., the court made the following order:-

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been convicted by the learned trial judge, for the alleged offence under Section 294(b) of IPC and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months and for the alleged offence under Section 323 of IPC and sentenced to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of one year and to pay a fine of Rs.1,000/-, in default to undergo simple imprisonment for a period of three months in S.C.No.19 of 2018 on the file of the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi.

2.It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that there are several infirmities in the prosecution case and further there are contradictions in material particulars between the evidence of the prosecution witnesses.



3.It is submitted by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor that there are enough materials available on record against the petitioner as per the evidence adduced by the prosecution and there is no infirmity in the prosecution case and he strongly opposed to grant suspension of sentence.

4.This Court has carefully considered the rival contentions put forward by either side and also perused the materials available on record.

5.The learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that certain infirmities and inconsistencies in this case and also certain contradictions in material particulars. The fact remains that there are arguable points involved in this criminal appeal and further the criminal appeal is not likely to be taken up for final hearing in the near future and as such, this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner herein is entitled to the relief of grant of suspension of sentence.

6.Accordingly, the suspension of sentence petition is allowed and the substantive sentence of imprisonment alone is suspended pending disposal of the appeal and the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Thoothukudi, and on further condition that the petitioner shall appear before the said Court daily at 10.30 a.m pending appeal.

sd/-

17/02/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020

Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

TO

1. THE II ADDITIONAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, THOOTHUKUDI.
 2. THE SUPERINTENDENT, CENTRAL PRISON, PALAYAMKOTTAI.
 3. THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
SEIDUNGANALLUR POLICE STATION, THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICT.
 4. THE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR,
MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT, MADURAI.
- +1 CC to M/s.B.N.RAJAMOHAMED, Advocate (SR-3231[I] dated 17/02/2020

ORDER IN

CRL MP(MD) No.1401 of 2020

IN CRL A(MD) No.79 of 2020

Date :17/02/2020