



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 19.02.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.(MD).No.2620 of 2020

and

Crl.M.P.(MD) Nos. 1368 & 1369 of 2020

WEB COPY

1. Ravichandran
2. Venkatachalam
3. Anbuselvam
4. Tamilselvan
5. Senthilkumar
6. Ramesh
7. Elaiyaraja
8. Kumar
9. Chinnappan
10. Dhanalakshmi

...Petitioners/A1 to A10

Vs.

1. State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District.

(Crime No. 223 of 2017). ...R-1/Complainant

2. Gopalakrishnan

... R-2/Defacto complainant

PRAYER: This Criminal Original Petition has been filed under Section 482 of Criminal Procedure Code, to call for the records pertaining in C.C. No. 64 of 2019 in connection with Crime No.223 of 2017 for the offences under Sections 143 & 188 of IPC on the file of the first respondent is pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Lalkudi and quash the same as illegal.

For Petitioners : Mr.S. Vanchinathan

For R1


: Mrs.S.Bharathi

Government Advocate (crl. Side)

O R D E R

The Criminal Original Petition has been filed to quash the proceedings in C.C. No. 64 of 2019 in connection with Crime No.223 of 2017 for the offences under Sections 143 & 188 of IPC on the file of the first respondent is pending before the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Lalkudi as against the petitioners.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the facts of the case are exactly similar to the case covered in the decision reported in **2018 2 LW (Crl) 606 [Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police**



Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District] dated 20.09.2018 and in case of Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and other in batch of cases in CrI.O.P(MD) No.7922 of 2019 dated 30.08.2019

WEB COPY

3. The learned Government Advocate (crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police would submit that the facts of the case are similar to the facts covered under the Judgment referred above.

4. Heard both sides and perused the materials on record

5. The facts of this case is similar to the facts covered by the Judgment of this Court reported in **2018 2 LW (CrI) 606 [Jeevanandham and others vs. The Inspector of Police Velayuthampalayam Police Station, Karur District] dated 20.09.2018 and in case of Sri Raja vs Inspector of Police, Sivakasi Town Police Station Virudhunagar District and other in batch of cases in CrI.O.P(MD) No.7922 of 2019 dated 30.08.2019.**

6. Considering the facts and submissions, the proceedings in C.C. No. 64 of 2019 on the file of the learned Judicial Magistrate Court, Lalkudi in connection with Crime No.223 of 2017 for the offences under Sections 143 & 188 of IPC on the file of the first respondent is hereby quashed as against the petitioners concerned and the Criminal Original Petition is allowed. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Records)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

ksa

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Siruganur Police Station,
Trichy District.
2. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

+1 CC to Mr.S.VANCHINATHAN, Advocate (SR-7222[F] dated 20/02/2020)
CrI.O.P.(MD).No.2620 of 2020

19.02.2020