



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

(Criminal Jurisdiction)

Date : 27/01/2020


WEB COPY

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice G.R.SWAMINATHAN

CRL OP (MD) . No.1270 of 2020

1. Karnan,
2. Jeyabharathan,
3. Ayyanar,
4. Alagarsamy,
5. Ramesh,
6. Ramakrishnan,
7. Moorthi,
8. Sathishkumar,
9. Kanthasamy,
10. Manikandan @ Pugalenthiran,
11. Thirupathi,
12. Sekar,
13. Parthipan,
14. Jeyaraman,
15. Thirumalaikumar,
16. Bala,
17. Gopal,
18. Katturaja @ Muthu,
19. Ramakrishnan,



20. Padmanaban,

21. Gopal,

22. Ramasamy,

23. Kumutham,

24. Lakshmi,

25. Irulayee,

26. Selvi,

27. Karupayee,

28. Maruthupandi,

29. Selvi,

30. Ganeshan,

31. Diwakar,

32. Thirupathi,

33. Mathavan, ... Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 to 21 & 23 to 34

Vs

The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District
(Crime No.8 of 2020). ... Respondent/Complainant

For Petitioners : M/s.A.Uthayakumar,
Advocate.

For Respondent : Mr.A.Robinson,
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

PETITION FOR ANTICIPATORY BAIL Under Sec.438 of Cr.P.C

PRAYER :-

for Anticipatory Bail in Crime No.8 of 2020 on the file of the
respondent police



ORDER : The Court made the following order :-

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

2. The petitioners apprehending arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences under sections 147, 148, 341, 294 (b), 323, 324, 336, 427 and 506(ii) of I.P.C. and Section 4 of Tamilnadu Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act, in Crime No.8 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) points out that the victim is still in hospital as inpatient. He is taking treatment for the severe head injury suffered by him.

4. On going the contents of the FIR, it is seen that it was the first petitioner/Karnan who is said to have caused the head injury with aruval. Therefore, I am not inclined to grant relief to the first petitioner and the criminal original petition is dismissed as regards the first petitioner is concerned.

5. Taking note of the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners 2 to 33 with certain conditions. Accordingly, petitioners 2 to 33 are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District, and on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten thousand only) each with two common sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned and on further condition that the petitioners 2 to 33 shall appear before the respondent police as and when required for interrogation. The petitioners 2 to 33 shall comply with the conditions stipulated under Section 438 Cr.P.C scrupulously.

5. The petitioners 2 to 33 shall appear before the concerned Magistrate within a period of 15 days from the date on which the order copy made ready, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed.

sd/-
27/01/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.



TO

1. The Judicial Magistrate,
Kamuthi, Ramanathapuram District.

2. Do-Through The Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Ramanathapuram District.

3. The Inspector of Police,
Abiramam Police Station,
Ramanathapuram District

4. The Additional Public Prosecutor,
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court, Madurai.

+1 CC to M/s.A.UTHAYA KUMAR, Advocate (SR-1568[I] dated
28/01/2020)

ORDER IN
CRL OP (MD) No.1270 of 2020

TR/SKN/S-II (31.01.2020) 4P 6C