



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 20.01.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAI SWAMY

AND

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE T.RAVINDRAN

W.P(MD)No.891 of 2020

WEB COPY

C.Rajendran

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The State Election Commissioner,
Chennai,Tamil Nadu.

2.The District Collector,
Tenkasi District,
Tenkasi.

3.The Block Development Officer,
Kuruvikulam Union,
Tiruvenkadam Taluk,
Tenkasi District.

4.The Tahsildar,
Tiruvenkadam Taluk,
Tenkasi District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to retain their Palankottai Village Panchayat under Kuruvikulam Panchayat Union, Tiruvenkadam Taluk, Tenkasi District instead of exclude it from Kuruvikulam Panchayat Union and insert into Oddmelaneelithanallur Panchayat Union, Sankarankovil Taluk, Tenkasi District on the basis of his representation dated 13.12.2019.

For Petitioner

: Mr.T.Indrachithu

For R - 1

: Mr.K.Chellapandian,

Additional Advocate General.

Assisted by Mr.D.Shanmugaraja Sethupathi

For RR 2 to 4

: Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan,

Special Government Pleader.

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **M.DURAI SWAMY, J.**)

The petitioner has filed the above Writ Petition to issue a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents to retain Palankottai Village Panchayat under Kuruvikulam Panchayat Union, Tiruvenkadam Taluk, Tenkasi District instead of excluding it from Kuruvikulam Panchayat Union and insert into Melaneelithanallur Panchayat Union, Sankarankovil Taluk, Tenkasi District on the basis of the representation dated 13.12.2019.



2.It is the grievance of the petitioner that Palankottai Village Panchayat should be retained with Kuruvikulam Panchayat Union and the same should not be included with Melaneelithanallur Panchayat Union.

WEB COPY

3.Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan, learned Special Government Pleader appearing for the respondents 2 to 4 submitted that the second respondent had already conducted public hearing with regard to the inclusion and exclusion of the Panchayat Union and therefore, the petitioner can approach the second respondent and make his submissions with regard to the prayer sought for in the Writ Petition. Further, the learned Special Government Pleader submitted that the respondents 2 to 4 have not taken any decision with regard to the prayer sought for in the Writ Petition and that the prayer is pre-mature.

4.Recording the submissions made by the learned Special Government Pleader to the effect that the respondents 2 to 4 have not taken any final decision and that the petitioner is having liberty to approach the second respondent with regard to the prayer sought for in his representation, we are not inclined to give any further direction to the authorities.

5.With these observations, the Writ Petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-I)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

To

- 1.The State Election Commissioner,
Chennai,Tamil Nadu.
- 2.The District Collector,
Tenkasi District,Tenkasi.
- 3.The Block Development Officer,
Kuruvikulam Union,Tiruvenkadam Taluk,
Tenkasi District.
- 4.The Tahsildar,
Tiruvenkadam Taluk,
Tenkasi District.

+1 CC to M/s.SPL.GP (SR-2144[F] dated 21/01/2020)

+1 CC to M/s.T.INDIRACHITTU, Advocate (SR-2441[F]

+1 CC to M/s.D.SHANMUGARAJASETHUPATHI, Advocate (SR-2035[F]

SMA/31/01/2020/2P/8C

W.P(MD)No.891 of 2020
20.01.2020