



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT
(Civil Appellate Jurisdiction)

Tuesday, the Twenty Ninth day of September Two Thousand Twenty

PRESENT

The Hon`ble Mr.Justice M.SATHYANARAYANAN
and
The Hon`ble Mr.Justice P.RAJAMANICKAM

CMP(MD) No.694 of 2020

IN

CMA(MD) No.932 of 2017

1 G.S.ABIRAMIVALLI

2 MINOR ASWIKA

REP. THROUGH HER NATURAL GUARDIAN AND HER NATURAL
GUARDIAN AND HER MOTHER G.S.ABIRAMAVALLI

3 VATHSALADEVI (DIED)

... PETITIONER/1-3 RESPONDENT

Vs

1.ROYAL SUNDARAM ALLIANCE INSURANCE CO. LTD.,
SUNDARAM TOWERS,
No.45,46, WHITES ROAD, CHENNAI-14.
REP.BY ITS DIVISIONAL MANAGER.

... 1st RESPONDENT/APPELLANT

2 M.A.JAFFER SADIQUE

3 R.KASTHURI

4 THE BRANCH MANAGER,
ICICI LOMBARD GENERAL INSURANCE CO.LTD.,
NO.7,A.A.ROAD, GNANAOLIVUPURAM,
MADURAI-16.


...RESPONDENTS 2-4/RESPONDENTS 3-5

5. S.KARPAGADEVI

6. P.SHANMUGAPRIYA

... RESPONDENTS 5-6/RESPONDENTS 6-7

Petition praying that in the circumstances stated therein and in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court will be pleased to permit the petitioner to withdraw half of the awarded share amount with interest and cost which has been deposited to the credit of M.C.O.P No.195 of 2010 on the file of the Vth Additional District Judge, Madurai.



ORDER : This petition coming on for orders upon perusing the petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Mr.N.S.KARTHIKEYAN, Advocate for the petitioner and of Mr.M.E.ILANGO, Advocate on behalf of the 1st Respondent, the court made the following order:-

(Order of the Court was made by M.SATHYANARAYANAN,J)

The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he is not pressing this civil miscellaneous petition.

2. In view of the same, this civil miscellaneous petition is dismissed as not pressed.

sd/-
29/09/2020

/ TRUE COPY /

/ /2020
Sub-Assistant Registrar (C.S.)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai - 625 023.

Note : In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

TO

THE Vth ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE,
MOTOR ACCIDENT CLAIMS TRIBUNAL, MADURAI.

ORDER
IN
CMP (MD) No.694 of 2020
IN
CMA (MD) No.932 of 2017
Date :29/09/2020

ta
AE/AKM/SAR-III (05.10.2020) 2P 2C