



the offending vehicle itself has been filed and it was marked as R.W.2. It is in these circumstances, the Tribunal felt that there is no need to allow these applications. These Civil Revision Petitions are directed against the same.

4.Heard, the learned counsel for the revision petitioners.

5.Prima facie, this Court is satisfied with the reasons stated by the learned trial Judge. This Court does not find anything material in the grounds of revision to impeach the correctness of the reasoning of the Tribunal for dismissing the appeal.

6.Therefore, necessarily this Court cannot interfere with these orders under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. In the result, these two Civil Revision Petitions are dismissed and accordingly, fair and executable order passed in I.A.Nos.47 & 48 of 2012 in M.C.O.P.No.1053/09 dated 25.06.2012 on the file of the V Addl. District Judge, Madurai is set aside and given the circumstances, without cost. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

7.It is made clear that in the eventuality of the claimants proving their entitlement to obtain some compensation from the Tribunal, they will not be entitled to any interest for the period from 26.08.2012 to this date(08.09.2020) since it was the ill advised strategy of the petitioners/claimants which has led to the present petitions and this Court, does not want to grant any unfair advantage to the claimants to exploit the delay to benefit themselves.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (Crl.Side)

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

tsg-2

To

1.The V Addl. District Judge, Madurai

2.The Section Officer,

V.R.Section, Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

C.R.P. (PD) (MD) Nos.2439 & 2440 of 2012

and

M.P(MD).No.1 of 2012 in CRP(MD).No.2439 of 2012

08.09.2020