



WEB COPY

C.R.P.(MD).No.2086 of 2009

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.07.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE **K.KALYANASUNDARAM**

C.R.P. (MD).No.2086 of 2009

and

M.P (MD).No.1 of 2009

and CMP (MD).No.8769 of 2017

K.A.Vellappan ... Petitioner/7th Respondent

Vs.

1.Gnanamaniammal Chathiram

Through its Hereditary Trustee

Smt.Ayya Thaiyal Nayagi (died)

Through its General Power of Attorney

K.Ayya Subramania Mudhaliar

2.K.A.Murugappan

3.K.A.Shamugavel

4.Kumudhavalli

... 1 to 4 Respondents / Petitioners

5.Muthukumar

6.Namachivayam

7.Subramanian

8.Baskaran

9.Abdhul Aalam

10.Sulokshana Jeyapaul

..5 to 10 Respondents/1 to 6 Respondents
/ 7,8,9,13,18,22 Defendants

11.Vishalakshiammal

..11th Respondent /8th Respondent/Defendant

Prayer:- Civil Revision Petition is filed under Section 115 of the Code of Civil Procedure to set aside the fair and decreetal order passed in E.P.No.75 of 1994 in O.S.No.71 of 1988 dated 04.12.2008 on the file of the Principal Sub Court, Tirunelveli.

For Petitioner : No appearance

For R2 : Mr.P.Thiagarajan

ORDER

This revision has been preferred against the order passed by the Execution Court in E.P.No.75 of 1994 in O.S.No.71 of 1988 on the file of the Principal Sub Court, Tirunelveli.

2.When the matter was taken up for hearing, Mr.P.Thiagarajan, learned counsel for the second respondent would submit that the delivery of possession was effected on 16.03.2019 and E.P itself was terminated on 18.03.2019. According to the learned counsel for the second respondent, nothing survives in this civil revision petition.



3.In the light of the above submission, this civil revision petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed.

Sd/-

Deputy Registrar (Accounts)

WEB COPY

// True Copy //

/ /2020

Sub Assistant Registrar(CS)

msa

Note: In view of the present lock down owing to COVID-19 pandemic, a web copy of the order may be utilized for official purposes, but, ensuring that the copy of the order that is presented is the correct copy, shall be the responsibility of the advocate/litigant concerned.

To
The Principal Subordinate Judge,
Tirunelveli

C.R.P. (MD) .No.2086 of 2009
and

M.P (MD) .No.1 of 2009
and CMP (MD) .No.8769 of 2017
29.07.2020

SMA/06/08/2020/2P/2C