



WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 27.05.2020

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.M.SUNDRESH
and

The Hon'ble Ms. Justice P.T.ASHA

H.C.P.No.2808 of 2019

Dineshkumar

... Petitioner

-vs-

1.The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2.The District Collector &
District Magistrate,
Vellore District,
Vellore -9.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus to call for the records in connection with the order of Detention passed by the second respondent dated 04.12.2019 in Memo No.C3/D.O.No.133/2019 against the petitioner's son-in-law Thangaraj, male, aged 29 years S/o.Lakshmipathi, who is confined at Central Prison, Vellore and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner .. Mr.S.Senthil Vel

For Respondents.. Mr.R.Prathap Kumar,
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.M.SUNDRESH, J.]

The petitioner is the uncle of Thangaraj, male, aged 29 years, S/o.Lakshmipathi, who is the detenu. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order in Memo No.C3/D.O.No.133/2019 dated 04.12.2019 holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.



2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

WEB COPY

3. Though learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copies of the documents relied on by him. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on this sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record, especially the confession statement in the adverse case at Page Nos. 17 to 20 of the booklet, it is clear that the detaining authority, by providing illegible copies of the documents, has taken away the rights of detenu to effectively defend himself against his detention. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

5. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in Memo No. C3/D.O.No.133/2019 dated 04.12.2019 passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, namely, Thangaraj, male, aged 29 years, S/o. Lakshmipathi, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600 009.

2. The District Collector &
District Magistrate,
Vellore District,
Vellore - 9.



3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

WEB COPY

4.The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Vellore.

5.The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public(Law & Order),
Fort Saint George, Chennai - 9.

H.C.P.No.2808 of 2019

cp[col
srg 14/07/2020