

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 29.7.2020

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE VINEET KOTHARI
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

T.C.A.No.818 of 2017

Smt. Devyani Dilip Patel .. Appellant
vs
The Income Tax Officer,
Business Ward XV(3) Chennai- 34 ...Respondent

Tax Case Appeal filed under Section 260-A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 against the order of the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Madras 'A' Bench dated 26.4.2017 in I.T.A.No.3218/Mds/2016 Appeal against the order dated 12.09.2016 made in ITA.NO.85/15-16 Assessment Year 2012-2013/CIT(A)-4 and Appeal against the order dated 28.03.2015 made in GIR.NO.AALPP6624L on the file of the Income Tax Officer, Non corporate ward-3(2) Chennai for the Assessment Year 2012-2013.

For Appellant : Ms.T.C.A.Sangeetha

For Respondent : Mrs.R.Hemalatha,
Senior Standing Counsel

ORDER

(Made by Dr.Vineet Kothari,J)

The Court was held by Video Conference, as per the Resolution of the Full Court dated 3 July 2020, by Judges at their respective residences and the counsel, staff of the Court appearing from their respective residences.

2. The learned counsel Ms.T.C.A.Sangeetha appearing for the Appellant/Assessee submits that this Appeal is directed against the remand order passed by the learned Tribunal and the matter is pending before the lower Authorities. She submits that the present Appeal has become infructuous.

3. The learned Senior Standing Counsel Mrs.R.Hemalatha appearing for the Respondent/Revenue has no objection.

4. The Appeal is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.
Ssk/kpl

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CCC)

//True Copy//


Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, 'A' Bench, Madras
2. The Income Tax Officer, Business Ward XV(3)
Chennai- 34.
3. The Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals)-4, Chennai.

+1cc to Mr.T.Ravi Kumar, Advocate SR.No. 25537
T.C.A.No.818 of 2017

RSV(CO)
A.SK(02/11/2020)



WEB COPY