



WEB COPY

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

(ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION)

FRIDAY, THE 03RD DAY OF JANUARY 2020

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SENTHILKUMAR RAMAMOORTHY

A.NO.8463 of 2019

IN

C.S.No.105 of 2018

S.R.Jangid IPS
Additional Director General of Police
Economic Offences Wing,
2/262, Ganga Street,
4th Main Road, River View Enclave
Manapakkam, Chennai 600 125. :Applicant/Plaintiff

Vs.

V.Vidya
D/o. N.Krishnasamy
No.11, Thangavel Street,
Gokulam Apartments,
T.Nagar, Chennai 600 017. : Respondent/Defendant

Application praying that this Honble Court be pleased to receive the documents morefully described hereunder as Additional Documents on the side of the Applicant/Plaintiff in the above suit in C.S.No.105 of 2018.

This Application coming on this day before this court for hearing the court made the following order:

This application is filed for permission to receive the documents described in the schedule to the Judge's summons.

2. I heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the party in person for the respondent.

3. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the suit is filed in respect of the defamatory statements made by the Respondent/Defendant and that damages and permanent injunction have been requested for in this suit.

He further submits that the documents in respect of which



this application is filed are the orders passed in connected proceedings and that they are relevant for the purpose of this case. In view of the fact that these documents are subsequent to the date of filing of the suit, they could not be filed earlier.

4. In response, the party in person submits that these documents are not relevant for the purpose of the suit because these are the orders of the Court in other proceedings between the parties. On that basis, the party in person submits that these documents are not relevant and therefore, should not be received.

5. I considered the submissions of the learned counsel for the applicant and that of the party in person for the respondent. The main objection of the party in person for the respondent is that the documents are not relevant for the purpose of the suit. The said objections can be raised when the documents are marked through the plaintiff's witness during the course of trial. Accordingly, this application is allowed by permitting the additional documents to be received in the evidence subject to admissibility, relevance and proof.

Sd/.S.K.R.J.

03.01.2020

//Certified to be a true copy//

Dated this the day of 2020.

SU/07.01.2020

COURT OFFICER

From 25.09.2008 the Registry is issuing certified copies of the Order/Judgment Decree in this format.