

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 04.02.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUBBIAH
AND
THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.PONGIAPPAN

H.C.P.NO.2339 OF 2019

R.Gayathri
W/o.Ruban @ Ruban Chakkaravarthy

... Petitioner

Vs

1. The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat,
Chennai - 600009.

2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai.

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by second respondent dated 09.08.2019 in Memo No.489/BCDFGISSSV/2019 against the petitioner's husband Ruban @ Ruban Chakkaravarthy, Male, aged 31, S/o.Damodharan, who is confined at Central Prison, Puzhal, Chennai and set aside the same and consequently, direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner :

Mr.R.Maran

For Respondents :

Mr.R.Prathap Kumar

Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R
[Order of this Court was made by R.SUBBIAH, J]

Petitioner is the wife of the detenu viz., Ruban @ Ruban Chakkaravarthy S/o.Damodharan, aged 31 years, who has been branded as a 'Goonda' under the Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982 and detained under order of second respondent passed in Memo No.489/BCDFGISSSV/2019 dated 09.08.2019.

2. The detenu came to adverse notice in the following cases:

Sl.No.	Police Station/Crime No.	Section of Law
1.	M4 Red Hills Police Station, Cr.No.1403/2015	457 & 380 IPC
2.	M3 Puzhal Police Station, Cr.No.506/2016	341, 294(b), 397, 506 (ii) IPC and 25(1A) of Arms Act, 1959
3.	M3 Puzhal Police Station, Cr.No.630/2018	294(b), 397 & 506(ii) IPC

The alleged ground case has been registered against the detenu in Crime No.360 of 2019 on the file of M3 Puzhal Police Station for offences u/s.341, 294(b), 336, 427, 392 r/w 397 and 506(ii) IPC. Aggrieved by the order of detention, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for respondents. Perused the materials on record.

4. Though several grounds have been raised in the Habeas Corpus Petition, learned counsel for petitioner mainly focussed his argument on the ground that the arrest of the detenu has been intimated to his wife through SMS. However, to establish the same, no proof has been produced, which would vitiate the detention.

5. We have heard learned Additional Public Prosecutor on the above submissions.

6. In the light of the fact that there is no proof for establishing that the arrest of the detenu was duly informed to his wife, we have no hesitation in quashing the order of detention.

Accordingly, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the detention order passed by the second respondent against the detenu viz., Ruban @ Ruban Chakkaravarthy S/o.Damodharan, in Memo No.489/BCDFGISSSV/2019 dated 09.08.2019, is set aside. The above named detenu is ordered to be set at liberty forthwith, unless his custody is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(CS V)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

gm

To

1. The Secretary to the Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Secretariat, Chennai - 600009.
2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai.
3. The Superintendent,
Central Prison,
Puzhal, Chennai.
4. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
5. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (L & O) Fort St.George,
Chennai.

सत्यमेव जयते

H.C.P.No.2339 of 2019

SJ(CO)
CS/11/03/2020

WEB COPY