

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF MADRAS

Dated : 16.09.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. RAMESH

W.P.No.31742 of 2017
and WMP.No.34888 of 2017

M/s.Rattha Somerset Greenways
Chennai Pvt. Ltd.,
rep. by its Director
LH/07488, No.94, Sathyadev Avenue,
MRC Nagar,
Chennai-600 028.

...Petitioner

Vs.

The Assistant Commissioner (CT),
Mandaveli Assessment Circle,
Chennai-600 028.

...Respondent

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records on the files of the respondent in TIN No.33270702708/2011-12 dated 06.11.2017 and to quash the same as it is against the provisions of law and against the principles of natural justice, direct the respondent to look into the documents produced by the petitioner and thereafter to grant a reasonable opportunity of being heard and to pass orders in accordance with law.

For Petitioner : Ms.G.Varshitha
for Mr.K.Vaitheeswaran
For Respondent : Mr.R.Swarnavel, GA (T)

O R D E R

With the consent of both the parties, the present Writ Petition is heard today through Video Conferencing.

2. The issue involved in this Writ Petition is that the impugned proceeding is made on the basis of the Audit Reports/Inspection Proposals proceeded from the Enforcement Wing or from ISIC Authorities. Among other grounds, the petitioner herein has raised a ground that the Assessing Officer, who is a Quasi Judicial Authority, has not independently applied his mind while dealing with the impugned proceedings, but had adopted the

reports and proposals of the Enforcement Wing/ISIC Authorities, who are their higher authorities.

3. This ground raised by the petitioner has been upheld by this Court in various Writ Petitions holding that the Assessing Officer cannot be solely guided by the proposal given by the Enforcement Wing Officers and that the Assessing Officer has to independently consider the same, without being influenced by such proposals of the higher officials. Some of the decisions in which such a view has been taken are in the cases of Madras Granites (P) Ltd., Vs. Commercial Tax Officer and Another reported in 2006 (146) STC 642 (MAD) and Narasus Roller Flour Mills Vs. Commercial Tax Office, (Enforcement Wing), Sankagiri and another reported in 2015 (81) VST 560 (MAD).

4. Such a ratio laid down by this Court in all the above Writ Petitions stand good till date and in these background, the Commissioner of State Tax, Chennai had issued Circular No.3 dated 18.01.2019, empowering the Assessing Authority to deviate from the proposals, without seeking for approval from the Enforcement Wing/ISIC Authorities. The relevant portion of Circular No.3 dated 18.01.2019 reads thus:-

"b) If the Assessing Authority is of the view that the Audit report or Inspection proposals received from Enforcement wing or proposals received from ISIC are not in conformity with the Law or the established principles set by various higher judicial Forums and if he wishes to deviate from the proposals either partly or wholly, he himself can finalize the assessment or revision of assessment without seeking approval from the Enforcement Wing/ISIC Authorities who had approved the proposals, and reasons for the same to be recorded."

Thus, the Circular has empowered the Assessing Officers to henceforth independently deal with the assessment without being influenced by the proposals of the higher officials.

5. In view of the Circular No.3 dated 18.01.2019 issued by the Commissioner of State Tax, Chennai, all the impugned proceeding in the present Writ Petition, which proceeds on the basis of the proposals/reports of the Enforcement Wing/ISIC, is set aside and consequently, the matter is remanded back to the Assessing Officer. The Assessee is granted liberty to file his objections with all supporting documents, within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. On receipt of such objections, the Assessing Officer shall extend due opportunity of personal hearing to the

Assessee/Representative, if necessary through Video Conferencing and endeavor to conclude the assessment proceedings independently and not being influenced by any of the reports or proposals of the Enforcement Wing /ISIC authorities. Such an exercise shall be completed atleast within a period of 12 weeks from the date of receipt of the objections. In case, if the objections are not received within the date of expiry of 30 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the Assessing Officer shall commence the assessment proceedings, after the expiry of the 30 days indicated above.

6. With the above observations and directions, the Writ Petition stands thus allowed. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To
The Assistant Commissioner (CT),
Mandaveli Assessment Circle,
Chennai-600 028.

+1cc to M/s.K.Vaitheeswaran, Advocate in SR.NO..30161
+1cc to Special Government Pleader in SR.NO..30270

ORDER MADE IN
W.P.No.31742 of 2017
and
WMP.No.34888 of 2017

AJS (CO)
RV(14/10/2020)

WEB COPY