

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 23.11.2020

CORAM :

The HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.DURAIWAMY

W.P.No.5672 of 2017

S. Tamilselvi

... Petitioner

v.

1. The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome,
Chennai - 600 028.

2. The District Registrar (Admin),
South Chennai,
Saidapet, Chennai - 15.

3. V. Rajinikanthan

... Respondents

Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuing a Writ of Mandamus directing the 2nd respondent to dispose of the petition/appeal dated 24.12.2016 (forwarded by the 1st respondent on 02.01.2017) filed by the petitioner within a reasonable time.

For Petitioner : Mr. Manikandan

For Respondents : Mr. P.P. Purushothaman
Govt. Advocate - R1 & R2

O R D E R

The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as Mr. P.P. Purushothaman, learned Government Advocate, appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, submitted that the prayer sought for in the Writ Petition has become infructuous for the reason that the 2nd respondent had already disposed of the appeal dated 24.12.2016.

2. In view of the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VI)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Rj

To


1. The Inspector General of Registration,
Santhome,
Chennai - 600 028.

2. The District Registrar (Admin),
South Chennai,
Saidapet, Chennai - 15.

+1cc to the Government Pleader SR.37786

W.P.No.5672 of 2017

UM(CO)
CB(14/12/2020)



WEB COPY