

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 22.12.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.20199 of 2020

1.Kamal Raj
2.Ravindran

...Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kangayam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.
Crime No.2362 of 2020

...Respondent/Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.2362 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : M/s.S.Vinoth Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 448, 294(b), 324, 427 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.2362 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2 The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that there was a business dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. While so on 10.12.2020 the petitioners entered into the house of the defacto complainant and abused him with filthy language and assaulted him with Iron Rod and damaged his Maruthi Esteem car value at Rs.5,000/-. Hence a complaint was lodged by the defacto complainant.

3 The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case and that there is a case in counter. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4 The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners entered into the house of the defacto complainant and abused him with filthy language and assaulted him with Iron Rod and damaged his Maruthi Esteem car value at Rs.5,000/-. He would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that there are no previous cases pending against the

petitioners and that there is a case in counter in Crime No.2361 of 2020. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5 Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that the victim has been discharged from the hospital and also that there is a case in counter, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6 Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Kangayam, on condition that the each petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate / Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate / Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7 With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

22/12/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
KANGAYAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUPPUR (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
KANGAYAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S. S.VINOTH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.8610

CRL OP.20199/2020

सत्यमेव जयते Date : 22/12/2020

RVR 04/01/2021

WEB COPY