

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 08.12.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.19185 of 2020

Saravanan

... Petitioner

Versus

State rep.by

.... Respondent

The Inspector of Police,
E-1, Ponneri Police Station,
Thiruvallur District.
(Crime No.3158 of 2020)

Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No.3158 of 2020, pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.P.Segar

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 294(b), 353 of IPC r/w Section 24(1) of the Cigarette and other Tobacco Products Act, 2003, in Crime No.3158 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The petitioner is arrayed as A2. The case of the prosecution is that based on special report given by the Special Sub-Inspector of Police, the respondent/Police inspected the house belonging to one Immanuvel (A1) at Singlimedu Village, where they found the petitioner along with other accused involved in selling banned contraband products. Hence, the complaint was registered.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person. He would further submit that he has been falsely implicated in this case and he was in no way connected with the alleged offence. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4.It is stated by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor (Crl.Side) appearing for the respondent police that the petitioner was found in possession of 167 kilograms of tobacco product worth of Rs.1.88 lakhs. He would further submitted that there is one previous case in Crime No.306 of 2019, pending against the petitioner and A2 is still in custody. Hence, he vehemently opposed granting of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5.Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6.Considering the criminal propensity of the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
08/12/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
PONNERI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVALLUR. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
E-1, PONNERI POLICE STATION,
THIRUVALLUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.T.P.SEGAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges SR.NO.8010

CRL OP.19185/2020

Date :08/12/2020

TA-17/12/2020