

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.12.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.NIRMAL KUMAR

CrI.O.P.No. 18243 of 2020

1.Kothandapani
2.Viruthammal
3.Kuppan @ Elumalai
4.Koteswaran

... Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 to 4

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Thanipadi Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
[Crime No. 2122 of 2020]

... Respondent/Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 2122 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.E.Sathiyaraj

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324, 506(1) of IPC r/w Section 4 of TN Prohibition of Harassment of Women Act in Crime No. 2122 of 2020, on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that on 05.07.2020 at about 7.00 a.m., she along with her husband had gone to their agricultural field, found that the first petitioner had kept jallikal in their shed and when the same was questioned by them, the petitioners have abused them in filthy language and also assaulted them and thereby, they have sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case due to property dispute. He would submit that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner and that there is a counter case in Crime No. 2121 of 2020. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that due to the property dispute, wordy quarrel took place between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and at that the petitioners have assaulted the defacto complainant and her husband with stones and thereby, they sustained injuries. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Taking into consideration of the facts and submissions made by the learned counsel and the fact that the victim has been discharged from the hospital and also that there is a case in counter, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Chengam, Tiruvannamalai District, on condition that each petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7.With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

02/12/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
CHENGAM, TIRUVANNAMALAI

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVANNAMALAI (FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
THANIPADI POLICE STATION,
TIRUVANNAMALAI

CC to M/S. E.SATHIYARAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges Sr.7925

CRL OP.18243/2020

सत्यमेव जयते Date:02/12/2020

RVR 08/12/2020

WEB COPY