

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

Dated : 19.11.2020

CORAM :

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.18174 of 2020

Rajkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police
Vaniyambadi Town Police Station,
Vellore District.
(Crime No.2072 of 2020)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition is filed under Section 438 of Criminal Procedure Code to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No.2072 of 2020 on the file of respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Sathish Kumar

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent Police for the offence punishable under Section 6(4) of Tamil Nadu Scheduled Commodities (RDCS) order 1982, 7(1)(a)(ii) of Essential Commodities Act, 1955 and Sections 336, 353 & 506 (i) of IPC in Crime No.2072 of 2020, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that the petitioner along with other accused were illegally transporting 3603 Kgs of PDS rice without proper license. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that arrested accused enlarged on bail. However on instruction, he would further submit that without prejudice to his defence, the petitioner is prepared to deposit considerable amount to any charitable Organization or Association. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner along with other accused were illegally transporting 3603 Kgs of PDS rice without obtaining proper license. He would further submit that there are no previous cases as against the petitioner. However, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. This Court is of the opinion that the petitioner shall deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the "The Commissioner of Vaniyambadi Municipality, Tirupathur, for the purpose of "Amma Unavagam" without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

6. Merely, because the petitioner deposits the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:-

[a] The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) as non refundable deposit to the "The Commissioner of Vaniyambadi Municipality, Thirupathur, for the purpose of "Amma Unavagam" within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance and on production of proof of deposit of the above amount and on further condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the police officer who intends to arrest, failing which the petition for anticipatory bail shall stands dismissed automatically and on further condition that the petitioner shall also give a letter of undertaking before the respondent/police that the said amount has been paid in respect of particular crime number.

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the respondent/police may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police every Monday and Friday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner is released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

Post the matter for "reporting compliance" on 15.12.2020.

-sd/-
19/11/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
VANIYAMBADI, THIRUPATHUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VANIYAMBADI TOWN POLICE STATION,
VELLORE DISTRICT

5 THE COMMISSIONER OF VANIYAMBADI MUNICIPALITY,
TIRUPATHUR, FOR THE PURPOSE OF "AMMA UNAVAGAM

CC to M/S. M.SATHISH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.18174/2020

Date :19/11/2020