



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 19.11.2020

CORAM

WEB COPY

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No. 18173 of 2020

1.Prabakaran

2.Ramesh

... Petitioners/Accused Nos. 2 & 3

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Valangaiman Police Station,
Thiruvarur District.

[Crime No. 2029 of 2020]

... Respondent/Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 2029 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.R.Thamarai Selvan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No. 2029 of 2020, on the file of the respondent, seek anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant viz., Halim Batcha, is that on 21.10.2020, at about 08.00 p.m., the petitioner along with one Basker/Al had come to the fast food, which was run by him at Govindakudi main road and asked him for food on credit and the defacto complainant has refused to give the food on credit. Therefore, the petitioners have abused the defacto complainant in filthy language and also attacked him. Thereby, the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and that a false case has been foisted against them. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail



4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners have asked the defacto complainant to provide the food on credit and the same was refused by the defacto complainant. Therefore, the petitioners have abused and attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log on his head and thereby, the defacto complainant sustained injuries. He would further submit that injured has been discharged from the hospital and that there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5.Taking into consideration of the facts and submissions made by the learned counsel and the fact that the victim has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6.Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate Court, Valangaiman, Thiruvavur District, on condition that each petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter, every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].



[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
19/11/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE COURT,
VALANGAIMAN,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
VALANGAIMAN POLICE STATION,
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S.R.THAMARAI SELVAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.No.7656

CRL OP.18173/2020

Date :19/11/2020

cs 27/11/2020