

Crl.OP.No.17746 of 2020

A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

The matter is listed today under the caption for being mentioned.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that in the order dated 10.11.2020 in Crl.O.P.No.17746 of 2020, it has been wrongly mentioned as Crime No.1741 of 2020 in the cause title instead of Crime No.1304 of 2020, accordingly, prays for appropriate orders.

3.In view of the above, Registry is directed to correct the Crime Number as Crime No.1304 of 2020 in the cause title and issue a fresh order copy.

WEB COPY

20.11.2020

kas

Note: Issue on 24.11.2020

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 10.11.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE **A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA**

Crl.O.P.No.17746 of 2020

1.Senthil Kumar,
S/o. Jayaseelan.

2.Kubendiran,
S/o. Jayaseelan.

3.Madhu Praveen @ Praveen,
S/o. Madhiyalagan.

4.Tamilarasan @ Tamizh,
S/o. Duraisamy.

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

Crime No.1741 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Crime No.1304 of 2020 on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.E.Kannadasan

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324, 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.1304 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to previous enmity, a wordy quarrel arose between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, at that time the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant with wooden log and thereby the defacto complainant sustained injury on his head and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against him. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He would submit that there is no previous cases pending as against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the copy of the order is made ready before the learned Judicial Magistrate No.-I Krishnagiri, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their

Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].**

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

6. With the above directions, these Criminal Original Petitions are ordered.

WEB COPY

10.11.2020

vsn

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate No.-I, Krishnagiri.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Krishnagiri Town Police Station,
Krishnagiri District.

3. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court,
Madras.




WEB COPY

A. D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA, J.

Crl.O.P.No.17746 of 2020

vsn



Crl.O.P.No.17746 of 2020

WEB COPY 10.11.2020