

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.12.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

W.P.No.6820 of 2014

And

M.P.No.1 of 2014

L.Kumaresaan

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.Union of India,
rep. by its Secretary,
Ministry of Civil Aviations,
Government of India,
New Delhi.
 - 2.The Management of Air India Ltd.,
Rep. by its Chairman & Managing Director,
Hansalaya Building, V Floor,
New No.15, Baracomba Road,
New Delhi 110 001.
 - 3.The General Manager - Personnel,
Air India Ltd.,
National Aviation Company of India Ltd.,
Air Line House, Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.
 - 4.The Executive Director,
Air India Ltd.,
Air India House, Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.
 - 5.The Deputy Manager (Air Port),
Anna International Air Port,
Meenambakkam, Chennai 600 027.
- ... Respondents

Prayer:

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to issue a Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to frame a scheme for the regularisation of the petitioner engaged in the canteen helper of Air India Ltd., at the International Air Port at Chennai (Erstwhile Indian Airlines Ltd.) prior to

Page numbers

this date and pending framing of the Scheme utilize the Labour engaged in the past including the petitioner herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Gunaseelan

For Respondents : M/s.K.Srinivasamurthy

O R D E R

The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking issuance of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to frame a Scheme for the regularisation of the petitioner engaged in the canteen helper of Air India Ltd., at the International Air Port at Chennai (Erstwhile Indian Airlines Ltd.) prior to this date and pending framing of the Scheme utilize the Labour engaged in the past including the petitioner.

2.When the matter was taken up for consideration, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents submitted that the very same prayer has been dealt with by this Court in W.P.No.19105 of 2010 (B.Baskar and another Vs. Union of India, rep. by its Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviations) and has disposed of the said writ petition on 18.07.2018, in line with the earlier order of this Court dated 28.03.2018 passed in W.P.Nos.17513 of 2010 etc., batch of cases [Antson Joseph and others v. the Union of India rep. by the Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviation, New Delhi and others].

3.It is useful to extract hereunder the relevant portion of the order dated 18.07.2018 made in W.P.No.19105 of 2010 (B.Baskar and another Vs. Union of India, rep. by its Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviations):

"3.When the matter was taken up for consideration, the learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the issue involved herein is covered by the earlier order of this Court dated 28.03.2018 passed in WP.No.17513 of 2010 etc. batch of cases [Antson Joseph and others v. the Union of India rep. by the Secretary, Ministry of Civil Aviation, New Delhi and others], wherein, this Court disposed of those writ petitions with the following observations:

17. As rightly contended by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents 2 and 3 that in view of drastic changes in Aviation industry over a period of time due to open sky policy adopted by the Government of India, the monopoly of the respondent Airlines had ended. In view of global competition in the Aviation industry, the

Page numbers

Airlines had also suffered huge financial loss and eventually even unable to pay salaries due to the permanent employees regularly. Moreover, when the ground handling activity has been outsourced in all Airports in the country and these petitioners having been employed only in such activity, they cannot be ordered to be absorbed permanently in the respondent Airlines. As stated by the learned counsel for the respondents that the respondent Airlines was not recruiting any permanent Helpers for the last many years after the exist of permanent employees from employment. That being the case, the question of consideration of the original prayer by this Court as sought for in the writ petitions, does not arise.

18. In view of the inevitable changes which took place in the Aviation industry, this Court has to take practical and pragmatic view to find just and equitable solution to the employment crisis faced by the petitioners. As contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners that atleast the present state of employment of the petitioners with the 7th respondent has to be protected, since they cannot be made to work under constant fear of termination at any time, particularly, in the teeth of the fact that these petitioners had been employed as Helpers for more than two decades. That is why, probably the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners had pleaded only for limited protection in order to atleast protect the present nature of employment of the petitioners, instead of seeking for absorption of their services with the respondent Airlines.

19. Considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners that their nature of present employment as indicated in the contract of appointment entered into by the 7th respondent with the individual workman as reflected in specimen copy enclosed in additional typed set of papers, dated 19.5.2016, which pertains to one of the workmen, namely, Thiru.S.Venkatesan, on the

same terms and conditions and such employment shall be continued in respect of other petitioners who were offered such appointment till they attain the age of superannuation. As rightly contended by the learned counsel for the respondents 2 and 3 that the requirement of man power may change from time to time due to fluctuating market trends as the ground handling activity may increase or decrease depending upon the client-Airlines patronage. Therefore, considering the said submissions, this Court is of the view that as long as man power requirement is there by the second respondent, the services of the petitioners ought to be utilized and the petitioners at no point of time should be replaced by any other casual arrangement by resorting to employ other persons. It is made clear that on the basis of genuine man power requirement, it is always open to the 7th respondent to downsize or rightsize the employment as and when the situation demands and depending on such contingencies, these petitioners shall be continued in service with the terms and conditions of services as stipulated by the 7th respondent. In any case, the petitioners' employment cannot be brought to end by adopting any unfair mean or unfair labour practice by bringing other casual workers from the open market in order to displace the petitioners herein.

With these observations and directions, these Writ Petitions shall stand disposed of. No costs.

5.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, this Court disposes of this writ petition in line with the aforesaid order dated 28.03.2018 in WP.No.17513 of 2010 etc batch of cases. No costs."

4.Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondents, this Court disposes of this writ petition in line with the order dated 28.03.2018 made in W.P.Nos.17513 of 2010 etc., batch of cases.

Page numbers

5.The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-II)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

pri

To

- 1.The Secretary, Union of India,
Ministry of Civil Aviations,
Government of India,
New Delhi.
- 2.The Chairman & Managing Director,
The Management of Air India Ltd.,
Hansalaya Building, V Floor,
New No.15, Baracomba Road,
New Delhi 110 001.
- 3.The General Manager - Personnel,
Air India Ltd.,
National Aviation Company of India Ltd.,
Air Line House, Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.
- 4.The Executive Director,
Air India Ltd.,
Air India House, Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.
- 5.The Deputy Manager (Air Port),
Anna International Air Port,
Meenambakkam,
Chennai 600 027.

+1cc to Mr.NGR.Prasad, Advocate SR.40151
+1cc to Mr.S.Gunasekaran, Advocate SR.39917

W.P.No.6820 of 2014
And
M.P.No.1 of 2014

NMI (CO)
CB(19/01/2021)

Page numbers