

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.11.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.16881 of 2020

Kanchana

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
All Women Police Station,
Ranipet, Vellore.
(Cr.No.17 of 2020)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Connection with the Crime No.17 of 2020 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Deepika

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 498 (A), 417, 495, 496 of IPC, in Crime No.17 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2.The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant viz., Ramya is that she got married to one Vijaya Kumar/A1 on 08.02.2009 and that her husband used to harass her and commit cruelty on her. While so, earlier, marriage was in subsistence, the first accused married another lady with the help of his mother and sister. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the petitioner is the mother of the first accused/Vijaya Kumar. The first accused had married the defacto complainant and there was a matrimonial dispute and a false complaint has been given. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner is the mother of the first accused and the marriage between the first accused and the defacto complainant was solemnized on 08.02.2009 and thereafter, the petitioner caused cruelty on her. He would further submit that during the subsistence of marriage, Al started living with one Latha. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the copy of the order is made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate, Arcot, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
02/11/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
ARCOT.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VELLORE [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ALL WOMEN POLICE STATION,
RANIPET, VELLORE.

+1 CC to M/S. S.DEEPIKA Advocate on payment of necessary charges
SR.No.7370

CRL OP.16881/2020

Date :02/11/2020

cs 10/11/2020

WEB COPY