

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS
DATED : 29.01.2020
CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

Crl.O.P.Nos.25275 & 25276 of 2014
and
Crl.M.P.Nos.1 & 1 of 2014

1.M/s.Sunil Hitech Engineers Ltd.,
Rep. by its Joint Managing Director - Senthil Ratnakar,
Having his office at:
97, East High Court Road,
Ramdaspath,
Nagpur - 440 010.
: Petitioners in Crl.O.P.No.25275 of 2014

2.Ratnakar Manikrao Gutte
3.Sunil Ratnakar
4.Sudhamathi Ratnakar Gutte
5.Narayanan Mohanan
5.Tiwari
6.Milind Gohane
: Petitioners in Crl.O.P.No.25276 of 2014

Vs.

1.The State rep by,
The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch, Team - I,
Vepery,
Chennai - 600 007.
(Cr.No.134 of 2014)

2.Rajamanickam ... Respondents in both Crl.O.Ps

COMMON PRAYER: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., seeking to call for the records in Cr.No.134 of 2014 pending on the file of the Inspector of Police, Central Crime Branch, EDF Team-I, Vepery, Chennai and quash the same as against the petitioners.

In Both Crl.O.Ps

For Petitioners : M/s.V.S.Senthilkumar

For R1 : Mr.C.Iyyapparaj
Additional Public Prosecutor

For R2 : M/s.V.Jayachandran

ORDER

Criminal Original Petitions have been filed seeking to quash F.I.R in Crime No.134 of 2014 on the file of the 1st respondent Police.

2.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the 2nd respondent by giving a criminal colour to the civil dispute had given a false complaint against the petitioners and others. The 1st respondent police though aware of the pending of civil proceedings for the said property and without awaiting for the finding of the civil Court, had registered a case against the petitioners.

3.The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would further submit that, it would suffice, if this Court permits the petitioners to furnish the particulars before the law enforcing agency to prove his innocence and issue direction to the law enforcing agency to complete the investigation within a time frame.

4.The learned Additional Public Prosecutor concedes to the request made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and on instructions, he would further submit that the investigation will be completed within the time frame fixed by this Court.

5.Considering the limited request made by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners, this Court without going into the merits of the case, is inclined to issue direction to the law enforcing agency to complete the investigation in Crime No.134 of 2014, after giving opportunity to the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioners is directed to co-operate with the law enforcing agency for early completion of the investigation.

6.These criminal original petitions are disposed of. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petitions are also closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

// True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

vv2

To

1.The Inspector of Police,
Central Crime Branch, Team - I,
Vepery,
Chennai - 600 007.

2.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras,
Chennai.

+1cc to Mr.V.S.Senthikumar, Advocate, SR.No.7480.

Cr1.O.P.Nos.25275 & 25276 of 2014

GP(CO)
CSR: 02.03.2020



WEB COPY