

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 29.10.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No. 17023 of 2020

1.Ramachandran

2.Venkatalakshmi ... Petitioners/Accused Nos. 1 & 2

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Denkanikottai Police Station,
Krishnagiri District,
[Crime No.188 of 2019]

... Respondent/Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Crime No. 188 of 2019, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.P.Saravanan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz

Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Section 379 of IPC, in Crime No. 188 of 2019, on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.

2 The case of the prosecution is that on 22.07.2019, while the revenue officials were on their regular patrol, the petitioner was coming in a Tractor bearing Registration No.TN 25 H 0750 and on seeing revenue officials, the petitioner had ran away from the tractor. On search, the petitioner was found illegally transporting one unit of soil by using Tractor without any valid licence. Hence, the complaint.

3 The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4 The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the quantity of soil involved in this case is one unit. He further submits that the petitioner without obtaining any permission from the Government had illegally dug the land and transported soil, thereby degraded the environment and caused damages to the ecology. Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5 This court is of the opinion that the offenders despite several orders passed by various benches of this court regarding illegal sand mining and knowing fully well about the evil consequences affecting the environment and society at large and the implications thereon are indulging in the offences of illegal quarrying/mining, theft and smuggling of sand and minerals. These cases come within the category of cases of large magnitude affecting and impacting livelihood of a very large number of people knowingly and unknowingly.

6 This Court finds that the discretionary power has been consciously and continuously misused by the offenders in an organised manner and this Court is of the firm opinion that the discretionary powers cannot be extended to persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals.

7 In view of the order passed by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.13334 of 2020 etc. Batch, dated 03.09.2020, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

-sd/-

29/10/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
DENKANIKOTTAI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
KRISHNAGIRI [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.


4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
DENKANIKOTTAI POLICE STATION,
KRISHNAGIRI DIST.

CC to M/S.M.P.SARAVANAN Advocate on payment of necessary
charges

CRL OP.17023/2020

Date :29/10/2020

MK:06/11/2020



WEB COPY