

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.10.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.16726 of 2020

1. Raja
2. Tamil Selvi
3. Jaya

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Manlmedu Police Station,
Nagapattinam District.
(Cr.No.998 of 2020)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Connection with the Crime No.998 of 2020 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.V.Sakkarapani

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294 (b), 341, 352, 324, 506 (2) & 307 of IPC in Crime No.998 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution, as per the defacto complainant Jayakumar, is that one Ramadoss had given a sum of Rs.60,000/- along with 2 ½ Sovereigns gold to the petitioners. The said Ramadoss along with the de facto complainant's son went to first accused house and demanded return of money. At that time, there was a wordy quarrel between them and the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant with a wooden log, as a result of which, he sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that this is the second petition for Anticipatory Bail. Earlier, an application was filed by the petitioners in CrI.O.P.No.14651 of 2020 and the same was dismissed on the ground that the victim was taking treatment in the hospital. Now the victim has been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that A1 has one previous case and he has been remanded to judicial custody and as far as these petitioners are concerned, there are no previous cases as against them. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that due to money dispute, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant and his friend one Ramadoss as a result of which, they sustained injuries. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case as against these petitioners. However, he vehemently opposed grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the copy of the order is made ready, before the Judicial Magistrate - I, Mayiladuthurai, on condition that each of the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala* [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8.With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
28/10/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
MAYILADUTHURAI.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
NAGAPATTINAM [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MANALMEDU POLICE STATION,
NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT.

CC to M/S.V.SAKKARAPANI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.16726/2020

Date :28/10/2020

MK:05/11/2020