

CMP No.11659 of 2020 in
SA SR No.67927 of 2020

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J

This application has been filed seeking to declare the 6th respondent as major and discharge the guardian.

2. This application is wholly misconceived and unnecessary. The 6th respondent had become major even pending appeal before the lower appellate Court. Therefore, the second appeal was rightly showing the 6th respondent as major. All that required was to file an affidavit or any proof of the fact that the 6th respondent has now attained majority.

3. The learned counsel for the appellant was unwilling to do that. They instead succumbed to the pressure of the Registry which adopted a dogmatic approach and insisted upon the petitioner to file the application to declare the 6th respondent as major and discharge the guardian who was never appointed. This application is dismissed as unnecessary.


4. Registry is directed to number the application, showing the 6th respondent as major and post it for admission.

15.10.2020

vum

R.SUBRAMANIAN, J.

vum



CMP No.11659 of 2020 in
SA SR No.67927 of 2020

WEB COPY

15.10.2020.