

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :09.10.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE R. PONGIAPPAN

Crl.O.P.No.16048 of 2020

1.C.Kalarani

2.N.Chandra Sekaran

.. Petitioners

Vs.

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Land Grabbing
District Police Quarters,
Perambalur District.

2.State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Land Grabbing,
District Police Quarters,
Perambalur District.

3.G.Ramayee

.. Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482
Cr.P.C. praying to direct the 1st and 2nd respondents not to
harass the petitioners.

For Petitioner : Mr.C.Sangamithirai

For Respondents 1 & 2 : Mrs.M.Prabhavathi

Additional Public Prosecutor

सत्यमेव जयते
O R D E R

This Criminal Original Petition has been filed seeking
direction to direct the respondents police 1 & 2 not to harass
the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

2. Today when the petition came up for hearing, learned
counsel for the petitioner made a submission that the second
respondent herein harassed the petitioner under the guise of
enquiry.

3. Per contra, learned Additional Public Prosecutor
appearing for the respondents 1 and 2 on instructions would
submit that upon the complaint given by one G.Ramayee, the
second respondent police initiated an enquiry and the same was
pending on his file in C1/774/624/2020 dated 23.07.2020 and
55/ALGSC/SP/PLR/2020 dated 24.07.2020. According to her, as of
now, enquiry is pending against this petitioner.

4. Heard Mr.C.Sangamithirai, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.M.Prabhavathi, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents police 1 & 2.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioner that the respondent police has been harassing him under the guise of enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non-cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioner has complained of harassment by the police based on the complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term "harassment" by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioner may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

(a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

(b) The respondent police is directed to serve summons mentioning the CSR number, date of complaint and the name of the complainant.

(c) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

(d) the police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

(e)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar(co)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

nl
To

1.The Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Land Grabbing
District Police Quarters,
Perambalur District.

2.The Inspector of Police,
Land Grabbing,
District Police Quarters,
Perambalur District.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1cc to Mr.C.Sangamithirai, Advocate SR.No. 33604

Cr1.O.P.No.16048 of 2020

A.SK(12/10/2020)

सत्यमेव जयते
WEB COPY