

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.10.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.16023 of 2020

1. Prabhu
2. Sargunam
3. Padmini

.... Petitioners

Vs.

State represented by,
The Station House Officer,
Vadaponprappi Police Station,
Kallakurichi District.
(Crime No. 233 of 2020)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest a case in Crime No.233 of 2020 pending investigation on the file of the respondent.

For Petitioners : Mr.N.Ranjith Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 323, 324, and 307 of IPC r/w Section 4 of Tamil Nadu Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.223 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners along with others have criminally intimidated, abused and assaulted the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioner along with other accused have criminally intimidated, abused and assaulted the defacto complainant. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned counsels, and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

[a] Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date on which the order copy is made ready, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sankarapuram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[b] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

6. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

09/10/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SANKARAPURAM

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
VILLUPURAM(FOR INFORMATION)

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.


4 THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER,
VADAPONPRAPPI POLICE STATION,
KALLAKURICHI DISTRICT.

CC to M/S.N.RANJITH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary
charges

CRL OP.16023/2020

Date :09/10/2020

RVR 15/10/2020



WEB COPY