

A.No.2329 of 2020
in
OP.No.508 of 2014

M.SUNDAR.J.,

Mr.Sharath Chandran, learned counsel representing the counsel on record for applicants/petitioners in the captioned application and Mr.K.Chindan, learned counsel of M/s.Menon Karthik Mukundan Neelakantan (Law Firm) for the contesting first respondent are before me in this web hearing on a video conferencing platform. To be noted, the sole Arbitrator, who constituted the Arbitral Tribunal and made the impugned award, which is under challenge in the main OP, has been arrayed as second respondent.

2. Be that as it may, learned counsel for applicants submits that there has been amalgamation pursuant to orders passed by Company Courts (Madras and Delhi High Courts), which has necessitated the captioned application with a prayer for amendment. It is further to be noted that the amendment is merely to change the name of the first respondent Company post amalgamation.

3. Learned counsel for contesting first respondent submits that he has no objection or opposition to the captioned application.

4. This Court, having heard the learned counsel for applicants, having perused the affidavit filed in support of the application, having been satisfied that the prayer is not only innocuous, but imperative for the main OP to proceed, the prayer is acceded to. In other words, captioned application is ordered as prayed for. There shall be no order as to costs. Learned counsel for applicants to carry out necessary and consequential amendments on or before 28.10.2020 and share copies with the other side.

08.10.2020

(1/2)

kmi

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY

*A.No.2329 of 2020 in
OP.No.508 of 2014*

M.SUNDAR.J.,

kmi



A.No.2329 of 2020 in
OP.No.508 of 2014

WEB COPY

08.10.2020
(1/2)