

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.14896 of 2020

S.Radhakrishnan

... Petitioner/Accused

Vs.

The State represented by, ... Respondent/Complainant
The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Tiruvannamalai Taluk Police Station,
Tiruvannamalai District.
(Crime No. 2798 of 2020)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in connection with Crime No. 2798 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.M.Krishnamoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 430, 379 of IPC and 21(5) Mines and Minerals Act 1957 and 3(2) of Tamilnadu Public Property Prevention of Damage & Loss Act, 1992, in Crime No. 2798 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2 The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner was found in illegal transportation of sand by using in two lorries without any valid licence. Hence, the complaint.

3 The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4 The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner without obtaining any permission from the Government had illegally digged the land and transported sand, thereby degraded the environment and caused damages to the ecology. Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5 This Court is of the opinion that the offenders despite several orders passed by various benches of this Court regarding illegal sand mining and quarrying knowing fully well about the evil consequences affecting the environment and society at large and the implications thereon are indulging in the offences of illegal quarrying/mining, theft and smuggling of sand and minerals. These cases come within the category of cases of large magnitude affecting and impacting livelihood of a very large number of people knowingly and unknowingly.

6 This Court finds that the discretionary power has been consciously and continuously misused by the offenders in an organised manner and this Court is of the firm opinion that the discretionary powers cannot be extended to persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals.

7 In view of the order passed by this Court in CrI.O.P.No.13334 of 2020 etc., Batch, dated 03.09.2020, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

-sd/-

23/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned.

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.


3 THE SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TIRUVANNAMALAI TALUK POLICE STATION,
TIRUVANNAMALAI DISTRICT.

CC to M/S M.KRISHNAMOORTHY Advocate on payment of necessary
charges

CRL OP.14896/2020

Date :23/09/2020

TA-01/10/2020



WEB COPY