

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.14604 of 2020

1.Vijayakumar
2.Nagarajan
3.Senthilkumar
4.Vignesh

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Udumalpet Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

Crime No.1429 of 2020.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their arrest in Connection with the Crime No.1429 of 2020 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.A.P.Sathyamurthy

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 341, 294(b), 323 and 506(i) r/w Section 4 of Women Harassment Act, in Crime No.1429 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that, there is a money dispute, between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, due to which, wordy quarrel arose them. The petitioners abused and attacked the defacto complainant by hands and thereby the defacto complainant sustained simple injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that a false case has been foisted against them. Therefore, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that due to money dispute, there was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and thereafter, the petitioners abused and attacked the defacto complainant with hands and thereby the complainant sustained simple injuries. He would also submit that the victim has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that the injured has been discharge from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate No.I, Udumalpet** on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent Police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
18/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.I,
UDUMALPET

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
UDUMALPET POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S.A.P.SATHYAMURTHY Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14604/2020

Date :18/09/2020

GKS:24/09/2020