

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.14579 of 2020

1.Selvaraj

2.Mallika

3.Sundarathal

... Petitioners

Vs.

State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
Kundadam Police Station,
Tiruppur District.

Crime No.862 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to grant an anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest or appearance before any Court in connection with the case in Crime No.862 of 2020 pending investigation on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners: Mr.M.Guruprasad

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz

Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC, in Crime No.862 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that while the de-facto complainant and 2 other staffs of Arja Energies Private Limited Company were in their routine work, the petitioners scolded them in filthy language and attacked them and caused injury. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that in fact, the petitioners have given a complaint against the de-facto complainant and 2 other workers for illegally trespassing in to their property, which was registered in Crime No.863 of 2020. He further submitted that it is only a case in counter. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioners abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and attacked him and now, the injured has been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that there is no previous case against the petitioners. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Dharapuram, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. The second and third petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
18/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, DHARAPURAM

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, सत्यमेव जयते
KUNDADAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUPPUR DISTRICT.

+1 CC to M/S M.GURUPRASAD Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.NO.6343

CRL OP.14579/2020

Date :18/09/2020

GKS:23/09/2020