

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 16.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.14417 of 2020

1. Settu @ Ponnurangam
2. Malar
3. Rekha

... Petitioners

vs.

State rep. By
The Inspector of Police,
Arakkonam Town Police Station,
Arakkonam, Ranipet District.
Crime No.758/2020.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Crime No.758 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.S.Sugendran

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 341, 294(b), 324, 323, 307, 506(1), 506(2) of IPC in Crime No.758 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to wordy quarrel relating to the land dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant, the petitioners attacked the defacto complainant with iron rod and knife. As a result of which, two persons sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution. He would further submit that earlier petition for anticipatory bail was dismissed by this Court on the ground that injured were still in hospital. He would further submit

that the injured have been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that petitioners 2 and 3 are ladies and the first petitioner has only present in the scene of occurrence. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the injured have been discharged from the hospital. He would further submit that there are no previous cases pending as against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions made by the learned Counsels appearing on either side and also the fact that the injured have been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days after lifting of lock down or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Sholinghur, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the first petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders. Second and third petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter as and when required.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

16/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
SHOLINGHUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
ARAKKONAM TOWN POLICE STATION,
ARAKKONAM,
RANIPET DISTRICT.

CC to M/S. S.SUGENDRAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14417/2020

Date :16/09/2020

cs 24/09/2020

WEB COPY