

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 18.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.14557 of 2020

K.Vedhagiri

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Madurantakam Police Station,
Kancheepuram District.
Crime No.891 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent in Crime No.891 of 2020 on the file of the respondent herein.

For Petitioner : Mr.G.Magesh Kumar

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(ii) of IPC in Crime No.891 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that due to a wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de-facto complainant, the petitioner along with the other accused abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with wooden log on the head and caused injury. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the co-accused have already been granted anticipatory bail in CrI.O.P.No.13247 of 2020 dated 31.08.2020. Therefore, he prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would submit that the petitioner along with the other accused abused the de-facto complainant in filthy language and attacked him with wooden log on the head and caused simple injury and now, the injured has been injured discharged from the hospital. He

would further submit that there is a case in counter in Crime No.899 of 2020. He would further submit that there is no previous case against the petitioner. However, he opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the above facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Madurantakam, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police everyday at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

18/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
MADURANTAKAM.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MADURANTAKAM POLICE STATION,
KANCHEEPURAM DISTRICT.

CC to M/S. G.MAGESH KUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14557/2020

Date :18/09/2020

RVR 25/09/2020

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY