

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.O.P.No.14293 of 2020

1. Sethuraman

2. Neethi Tamilarasan

... Petitioners

vs.

State rep. By

The Inspector of Police,
Peralam Police Station,
Thiruvarur District.

Crime No.2159/2020.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest in Crime No.2159 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.T.Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences publishable under Sections 294 (b), 324 and 506 (1) of IPC and Section 4 of TNPHW Act in Crime No.2159 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was a land dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. Due to which, there was a wordy quarrel between them and the accused attacked the de-facto complainant on his forehead, thereby, the de-facto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and there is no previous case as against the petitioners. He further submitted that this is a case in counter in Cr.No.2160 of 2020. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Nannilam, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8.With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
14/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NANNILAM.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
PERALAM POLICE STATION,
TIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S T.MURUGANANTHAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.14293/2020

Date :14/09/2020

MK:23/09/2020

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY