

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 30.9.2020

CORAM

THE HON'BLE DR.JUSTICE VINEET KOTHARI
AND
THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

O.S.A.No.205 of 2020

1. Dr.Lakshmanan Saravanan

2. Dr.S.Mahalakshmi

Appellants

Versus

T.M.Ramachandra Reddy (Deceased)

1. R.Radhakrishnan

Respondents

Prayer: Original Side Appeal filed under Order 36 Rule 11 of Original Side Rules read with Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the order dated 6.2.2020 in Application No.7362 of 2019 in Application No.7036 of 2017 in C.S.No.1 of 2014 passed by this court.

For Appellant : Mr.D.Manimaran

JUDGMENT

(Judgment of the court was made by Dr.VINEET KOTHARI, J.)

The only ground pressed by the learned counsel for the Appellant Mr.D.Manimaran is that the learned Single Judge, while passing the order impugned before us on 6.2.2020, directed payment of Rs.75,000/- as Additional Remuneration to Mr.R.W.H.Ghyaz Ahmed, the learned Advocate Commissioner, who had submitted a report which was found to be somewhat contrary to the Engineer's Report in the preceding para of the same order.

Therefore, the learned counsel for the Appellant submits that Additional Remuneration could not have been directed by the learned Single Judge.

2. We are not inclined to interfere with the said order at this stage. The learned counsel for the Appellant submits that he may be given liberty to move appropriate Application before the learned Single Judge for seeking modification.

3. The liberty prayed for is granted. The Appeal is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CS-VII)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

Ssk.

TO
The Sub Assistant Registrar (O.S.I),
High Court, Madras - 104.

+1cc to Mr.D.Manimaran, Advocate, S.R.No. 32609

O.S.A.No.205 of 2020

SSV(CO)
GN(18/11/2020)



WEB COPY