

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED 04.09.2020

CORAM

THE HON'BLE Mr.JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.13698 of 2020

Sujithkumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

State represented by
The Inspector Of Police,
Omerabad Police Station,
Ambur Taluk,
Tirupathur District.
(Cr.No.1153 of 2020)

.... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. seeking to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.1153 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : M/s.S.P.Arthi

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz.
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offence punishable under Sections 379, 430 of IPC and Section r/w. 21(1) of MM (D & R) Act, in Crime No.1153 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant, the Inspector of Police is that while he was on his usual rounds, he had seen the accused had without any permission from the Government, dug and taken sand. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. On instruction, he would further submit that taking into consideration the present Covid pandemic situation the petitioner is prepared to donate/pay some considerable amount to any charitable organization or association without prejudice to his defence and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioner without permission from the Government, had dug the land and taken sand and thereby degraded the environment and caused damages to the ecology. Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. This court is of the opinion that the offenders despite several orders passed by various benches of this court regarding illegal sand mining and knowing fully well about the evil consequences affecting the environment and society at large and the implications thereon are indulging in the offences of illegal quarrying/mining, theft and smuggling of sand and minerals. These cases come within the category of cases of large magnitude affecting and impacting livelihood of a very large number of people knowingly and unknowingly.

6. This Court finds that the discretionary power has been consciously and continuously misused by the offenders in an organised manner and this Court is of the firm opinion that the discretionary powers cannot be extended to persons indulging in illegal sand mining, smuggling and theft of sand and minerals.

7. In view of the order passed by this Court in Crl. OP No.13334 of 2020 etc. Batch, dated 03.09.2020, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed.

-sd/-

04/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
AMBUR.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
OOMERABAD POLICE STATION,
AMBUR TALUK, TIRUPATHUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S. S.P.ARTHI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13698/2020

Date :04/09/2020