

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 01.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.OP.No.13432 of 2020

1. B. Sathish Kannan
2. R. Charles

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of police,
Tambaram Police Station,
Chennai - 600 045.
(Crime No.1545 of 2020)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of their
arrest in Crime No.1545 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr. G. Punniakoti

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341,
384, 294(b), 506(i) of IPC, in Crime No.1545 of 2020, on the file of
the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is
that he was travelling from East Tambaram to Guindy by his car and
while he stopped at MEPZ signal, the complainant's car was
intercepted by the petitioners along with three other accused and
they got into the car and forced him to travel towards Guindy and
later the accused refrained the defacto complainant to use the mobile
phone and also threatened the defacto complainant. Hence the
complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent persons and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would submit that on a complaint given by the petitioner, the respondent has registered a case in CSR No. 307 of 2011 against the defacto complainant. As a counter blast the present complainant has been given. He would further submit that the co-accused has been enlarged on bail. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner way laid the defacto complainant while he was travelling in a car and threatened him. He would further submit that there was a money dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant. However, he opposed for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance, within a period of fifteen days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned Judicial Magistrate, Tambaram on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two surety, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
01/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
TAMBARAM.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
TAMBARAM POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI - 600 045.

CC to M/S. G.PUNNIAKOTI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13432/2020

Date :01/09/2020

cs 15/09/2020

WEB COPY