

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.08.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.OP.No.13226 of 2020

Bharani @ Bharanidharan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of police,
Maraimalai Nagar Police Station,
Maraimalai Nagar.
Crime No.2340 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioner on bail, in the event of his
arrest, in Crime No.2340 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.R.Thirumoorthy

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 341,
323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.2340 of 2020, on the file of
the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that there was wordy quarrel
between the petitioner and the de facto complainant, due to which the
petitioner and others assaulted the de facto complainant with hands
and stone and he sustained injury. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner is a innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in
this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that
there was wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de facto
complainant, due to which the petitioners assaulted the de facto
complainant with hand and stone causing injury to him and the injured
has been discharged from the hospital. He further submitted that
there are three previous cases pending against the petitioner herein
out of which one relates to Section 302 I.P.C and other two cases

relates to Section 307 I.P.C, and history sheet is also pending in H.S.No.63 of 2018. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that there are three previous cases pending against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

28/08/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, CHENGALPATTU

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MARAIMALAI NAGAR POLICE STATION,
MARAIMALAI NAGAR.

CC to M/S. R.THIRUMOORTHY Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.13226/2020

Date :28/08/2020

RVR 22/09/2020

WEB COPY