

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 28.08.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.OP.No.13196 of 2020

Velu

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by
The Inspector of police,
J-6, Thiruvannmiyur Police Station,
Chennai - 41.
Crime No.198 of 2020

.... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in the event of
his arrest, in Crime No.198 of 2020 on the file of the respondent
police.

For Petitioner : Mr.J.William Shakesphere

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 294 (b),
323, 427, 397 and 506(ii) IPC, in Crime No.198 of 2020, on the file
of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the de facto complainant
is that there was wordy quarrel between the petitioner and the de
facto complainant with regard to refund of money, due to which the
petitioner and others abused the de facto complainant with filthy
language and intimidated him with knife and also robbed a sum of
Rs.500/- from the de facto complainant's shop. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner is a innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in
this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the
petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that
due to refund of money the petitioner and others abused the de facto
complainant with filthy language, robbed a sum of Rs.500/- from the
de facto complainant's shop and also intimidated him with knife. He
also submitted that there are five previous cases pending against the
petitioner. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to
the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that there are five previous cases pending against the petitioner, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-

28/08/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 XVIII METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE,
SAIDAPET, CHENNAI

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
J-6, THIRUVANMIYUR POLICE STATION,
CHENNAI-41.

CC to M/S. J.WILLIAM SHAKESPHERE Advocate on payment of
necessary charges

CRL OP.13196/2020

Date :28/08/2020

RVR 22/09/2020

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY