

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 23.09.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.12870 of 2020

K.Baskar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State Represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
EOW-II, HQRS, Chennai.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the event of his arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.1 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.S.Sathyaraj

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 406, 420, 120 (b) of IPC r/w Section 5 of Tamil Nadu Protection of Interest of Depositors (In Financial Establishments) Act 1997, in Crime No.1 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that the petitioner along with other accused persons have collected a sum of Rs.1,03,900/- from the defacto complainant, on the assurance of paying double the amount within a stipulated period. Thereby, they cheated the defacto complainant. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against him. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor would submit that the petitioner along with the other accused persons have collected money from various victims and cheated more than Rs.4 Crores. He further submitted that the investigation is not yet completed. Hence he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Taking into consideration the allegations and the fact that the case is in the initial stage of the investigation and that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is essential, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

-sd/-
23/09/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
EOW II ,HQRS,
CHENNAI.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S.S/SATHYARAJ Advocate on payment of necessary charges

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY
CRL OP.12870/2020
Date :23/09/2020

cs 30/09/2020