

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 31.08.2020

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

CrI.O.P.No.13324 of 2020

1.S.Valarmathy
W/o.M.Soundararajan

2.M.Soundarajan
S/o.Shanmugam

3.U.Sathish Kumar
S/o.Uthira Kumar

....Petitioners

Vs.

1.State Rep by.,
The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Selaiyur, Chennai.

2.State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Peerkankarai Police Station (Crime),
Perungalathur.

....Respondents

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying to direct the respondents Police not to harass the petitioners on the basis of false complaint given by the defacto complainant/S.Arasu.

For Petitioners: Mr.E.Vinothkumar

For Respondents: Mr.S.Karthikeyan

Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

This petition has been filed seeking direction to the second respondent police not to harass the petitioners in connection with Crime No.Not known of 2020 pending on the file of second respondent Police.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the second respondent police harassed the petitioners under the guise of enquiry.

3. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents submits that on the complaint given by the

defacto complainants against the petitioners, petition enquiry is pending in C.S.R.No.445 of 2020 on the file of the second respondent police

4. Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondents police.

5. It is the grievance of the petitioners that the respondent police have been harassing them under the guise of an enquiry/investigation and hence, has invoked the inherent powers of this Court under Section 482 of Cr.P.C.

6. An enquiry into a non cognizable offence or a cognizable offence is the unfettered powers of the Investigation Officers so long as the power to investigate/enquire into these offences are legitimately exercised within the frame work of Chapter XII of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Though the Code of Criminal Procedure empowers the Magistrate to be a guardian in all the stages of the police investigation, there is no power envisaging him to interfere with the actual investigation or the mode of investigation. It is in this background that numerous petitions complaining of harassment are being reported and filed before this Court seeking for directions to refrain the police officials from harassing the persons named in a complaint.

7. This Court, exercising its power under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code normally would not interfere with the investigation conducted by a police officer. Nevertheless, it would also not turn a blind eye to instances of harassment by the police under the guise of investigation is brought to its notice.

8. In the present case in hand, the petitioners have complained of harassment by the police based on a complaint and seek for this Court's intervention by way of a direction. The term 'harassment' by itself has a very wide meaning and hence, what could be harassment to the petitioners may not be the same to the police officer.

9. In order to circumvent such situations, the following guidelines are issued:

a) While summoning any person named in the complaint or any witness to the incident complained of, the police officer shall summon such person through a written summon under Section 160 Cr.P.C., specifying a particular date and time for appearing before them for such an enquiry/investigation.

b) The minutes of the enquiry shall be recorded in the general diary/station diary/daily diary of the police station.

c) The police officer shall refrain himself or herself from harassing persons called upon for enquiry/investigation.

d)The guidelines stipulated for preliminary enquiry or registration of FIR by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Lalita Kumari Vs. Government of Uttar Pradesh and others [2014 (2) SCC (1)] shall be strictly adhered to.

10. With the above observations and direction, the Criminal Original Petition stands disposed of.

-s/d-
Assistant Registrar

True Copy

Sub-Assistant Registrar

rri
To

1. The Deputy Commissioner of Police,
Selaiyur, Chennai.

2.State Rep. by
The Inspector of Police,
Peerankarai Police Station (Crime),
Perungalathur.

3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.

+1 Cc to Mr.E.Vinothkumar, Advocate sr 28551.

Cr1.O.P.No. 13324 of 2020

BP(CO)
SP(21/10/2020)

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY