

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 14.08.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A. D. JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Cr1.O.P.No.12267 of 2020

1. Prabakaran  
2. Kalaiarasi

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by,  
The Inspector of Police,  
Peralam Police Station,  
Tiruvarur District.  
Crime No.1926 of 2020.

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of arrest in Connection with the Crime No.1926 of 2020 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. T. Muruganantham

For Respondent : Mr. K. Prabakar,

Additional Public Prosecutor.

ORDER

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 294(b), 324 and 506(2) of IPC in Crime No.1926 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution as per the defacto complainant is that the petitioners have abused and picked up quarrel with the defacto complainant on suspicion that the defacto complainant had stolen their household properties and also assaulted him and thereby the defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely implicated in this case. He would further submit that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that they are no way connected in this case. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the petitioners have abused and picked up quarrel with the defacto complainant on suspicion that the defacto complainant had stolen their household properties and also assaulted him due to which, the defacto complainant sustained injuries. He further submitted that the injured has been discharged from the hospital and that there is no previous case pending against these petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that the injured has been discharge from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen (15) days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned **District Munsif cum Judicial Magistrate, Nannilam**, on condition that the petitioners shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] **the first petitioner shall report before the respondent Police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m., until further orders.**

[c] **the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police every day at 10.30 a.m., for a period of two weeks until further orders.**

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

14/08/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE DISTRICT MUNSIF CUM  
JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, NANNILAM.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE  
THIRUVARUR [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,  
PERALAM POLICE STATION,  
THIRUVARUR DISTRICT.

CC to M/S T.MURUGANANTHAM Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.12267/2020

Date :14/08/2020

MK:28/08/2020