



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 06.08.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.11821 of 2020

1.B.Narayani ... Petitioners

2.V.Bakthavachalu

3.S.Kamalamma

Vs.

The State Represented by, ... Respondent

The Inspector of Police,

Mappedu Police Station,

Mappedu, Tiruvallur.

(Crime No.1447 of 2020)

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to grant Anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the event of their arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.1447 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr.P.Sugumar

For Respondent : Mr. M. Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences publishable under Sections 147, 448, 427, 294(b), 323 of IPC 1860 and r/w 3(1) TNPPDL Act 1984 in Crime No.1447 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other accused trespassed into the office of the defacto complainant and damaged the CCTV camera and computers. Hence, the complaint.



3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are senior citizens and innocent persons and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the prosecution and a false case has been foisted against them. He further submitted that the petitioners are respectively the mother, father and aunty of the defacto complainant and a false complaint has been given due to dispute in the family business. Hence, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that the defacto complainant and the petitioners are family members and due to family dispute, the petitioners trespassed into the office of the de facto complainant and damaged the CCTV camera, which is worth about Rs.1.50 lakhs. He further submitted that there are two previous cases pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration of the facts and circumstances, considering the submissions made by the learned counsels, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are directed to be released on bail in the event of their arrest or on their appearance, **within a period of fifteen after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier**, before the **learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Tiruvallur**, on condition that each of the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only)** with two sureties, for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the first and third petitioners shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation.

[c] the second petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter, every Monday at 10.30 am until further orders.

[d] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.



[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560]**.

[g] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-
06/08/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE NO.II,
TIRUVALLUR.

2 THE CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE
TIRUVALLUR. [FOR INFORMATION]

3 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

4 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
MAPPEDU POLICE STATION,
MAPPEDU,
TIRUVALLUR.

CC to M/S.P.SUGUMAR Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.11821/2020

Date :06/08/2020

TA-07/09/2020