

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 27.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No.11177 of 2020

1.K.Saleem
2.V.Yukendaran @ Vikendaran
3.Vikki @ Vignesh

... Petitioners

Vs.

The State Represented by :-
The Inspector of Police,
Kitchipalayam Police Station,
Salem District.
(Crime No. 595 of 2020)

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petitions filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their
arrest by the respondent police in Crime No.595 of 2020, on the file
of the Inspector of Police, Kitchipalayam Police Station, Salem
District.

For Petitioners : Mr.B.Vasudevan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the
respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections
147,148,294 (b), 323, 307, 506(2) IPC, in Crime No.595 of 2020, on
the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution that due to the previous enmity
regarding financial dispute the petitioners along with other accused
assaulted defacto complainant and caused head injury. Hence the
complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit
that the petitioners are innocent and they have been falsely
implicated in this case due to financial dispute. He would further
submit that as far as the averments against these petitioners are
concerned they have stated they have assaulted the injured with hands
and legs and the injured was discharged from hospital. Hence, he
prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent would vehemently oppose the incident. He would further submit that the petitioners along with the other accused assaulted defacto complainant and caused head injury. The injured discharged from hospital due to Covid-19 and still taking treatment. He would also submit that first petitioner has there previous cases and he is a history sheet and the arrested accused are still in custody. Hence, he vehemently opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts of the case and the submissions made by either side counsel, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners at this stage. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition stands dismissed for the present.

-sd/-
27/07/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE
KITCHIPALAYAM POLICE STATION
SALEM DISTRICT.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S B.VASUDEVAN Advocate on payment of necessary charges

WEB COPY

CRL OP.11177/2020

Date :27/07/2020

cs 28/08/2020