

CMP.No.18769 of 2019
in Rev.Appl.SR.No.144586 of 2018

M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.,
and
RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.,

[Order of the Court was made by M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.,]

Heard the submissions of the learned counsel on either side and also perused the affidavit filed in support of this miscellaneous petition.

2. Mrs.Hema Sampath, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms.Dolly Dyana Gananam, would submit that in the absence of any plausible, tenable and acceptable reasons, the delay of 86 days in filing the review petition cannot be condoned and prays for dismissal of this petition.

3. Heard the submissions of Mr.P.N.Radhakrishnan, learned counsel for respondents 2 and 3 and Mr.Narayanasamy, learned counsel for the fourth respondent, who also made submissions as that of the learned Senior Counsel for the first respondent.

4. This Court, on perusal of the affidavits filed in support of this petition and on hearing the learned counsel on either side, is of the view that the delay of 86 days in filing this review application has been properly explained. Accordingly, this petition is **ordered** and the delay of 86 days in filing this review application is hereby **condoned**.

M.SATHYANARAYANAN, J.,
and
RMT.TEEKAA RAMAN, J.,

gg

5. The Registry is directed to number the review application, if the papers are otherwise in order and list the same for admission. Print the names of the learned counsels for the respondents.

gg



(M.S.N., J.) (T.K.R., J.)
14.02.2020

WEB COPY

CMP.No.18769 of 2019
in Rev.Appl.SR.No.144586 of 2018