

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED :24.07.2020

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN

Crl.O.P.No.10770 of 2020

Srinivasan

S/o. Sami naidu,
D.No.14/10, Rajaji Nagar,
Royakottai Road,
Hosur, Krishnagiri.
Pin Code – 635 109.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

The Inspector of police,
Krishnagiri Town police station,
Krishnagiri District.
Crime No.: 83/2020

.. Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in Crime No. 83 of 2020 on the file of Inspector of Police, Krishnagiri Town police station, Krishnagiri.

For Petitioner : Mr.Maharajan.V

For Respondent : Mr.S.Karthikeyan
Additional Public Prosecutor

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences under Section 294(b), 323, 324, 342, 380, 506(II) of Indian Penal Code 1860 & section 66E of Information Technology

Act 2000 in Crime No.83 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the *de facto* complainant had an acquaintance with A3 through social media. It is the further allegation that the *de facto* complainant had borrowed some money from A1 and A2. A1 and A2 had used the services of A3 and made the *defacto* complainant come to a particular place. Thereafter, the *de facto* complainant was confined in a room and nude photographs were taken. The *de facto* complainant was thereafter threatened by showing these photographs and he was assaulted and various blank forms and cheque leaves were received by getting his signature. There are totally three accused persons in this case and the petitioner is ranked as A1.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that a simple civil dispute between the parties has been given a criminal colour by inventing a lot of facts. Learned counsel further submitted that A2 was arrested in this case and he was later enlarged on bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that earlier this Court had dismissed the Bail petition in CrI.O.P.No.8865 of 2020 dated

17.06.2020. He further submitted that there is no change in circumstances.

Hence, he vehemently opposed to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that there is no change in circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Hence, this Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

24.07.2020

Index :Yes/No
Internet:Yes/No

dh

To

1.The Judicial Magistrate – I,
Krishnagiri.

2. The Inspector of police,
Krishnagiri Town police station,
Krishnagiri.


3.The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.



WEB COPY

G.K.IIANTHIRAIYAN.J.,

dh



Crl.O.P.No.10770 of 2020

WEB COPY

24.07.2020