

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 17.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

Crl.OP.No.10688 of 2020

1. Rajamugilan  
2. Yuvaraj  
3. Vasanthakumar

... Petitioners

Vs.

State rep by  
The Inspector of Police,  
Triplicane police station,  
Chennai.  
Crime No.44 of 2020

... Respondent

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,  
praying to enlarge the petitioners on bail in the event of their  
arrest in Crime No.44 of 2020 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : M/s.K.Dilliganesh  
S. Dilipkumar  
S.Chandranathan  
V.Bhagiradhan

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz,  
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(This case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioners who apprehend arrest at the hands of the  
respondent police for the offences publishable under Sections 341,  
294(b), 323, 324 and 506(ii) IPC in Crime No.44 of 2020, on the file  
of the respondent police, seek anticipatory bail.

2. The case of the prosecution is that on the date of  
occurrence, when the defacto complainant and his friends had gone to  
a Hotel, the same was closed. Thereafter, they were talking in front  
of the hotel. Suddenly, the petitioners and his friends picked up a  
quarrel and assaulted the defacto complainant and thereby, the  
defacto complainant sustained injuries. Hence, the complaint.

3. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submitted that there  
was a wordy quarrel between the petitioners and the defacto  
complainant and they have not committed any offence as alleged by the  
prosecution and that there is no previous enmity between them. Hence,  
he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor submitted that due to wordy quarrel, the petitioners assaulted the defacto complainant. He would further submit that the victim has been discharged from the hospital and there are no previous cases pending against the petitioners. However, he opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Taking into consideration the facts and submissions of the learned Counsel and the fact that the victims have been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners subject to the following conditions;

6. Accordingly, the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on their appearance, within a period of fifteen days after lifting of lockdown or the commencement of the Court's normal functioning whichever is earlier, before the learned II Metropolitan Magistrate, Egmore, on condition that the petitioner shall execute a separate bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) with two sureties, each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent police or the police officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, failing which, the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed and on further condition that:

[a] the petitioners and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the Magistrate may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[b] the petitioners shall report before the respondent daily at 10.30 a.m. for a period of two weeks and thereafter every Monday at 10.30 a.m. until further orders.

[c] the petitioners shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[d] the petitioners shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[e] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/ Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[f] If the accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

7. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

-sd/-

17/07/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned  
TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)  
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE METROPOLITAN MAGISTRATE  
NO.II, EGMORE, CHENNAI

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR  
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
TRIPPLICANE POLICE STATION,  
CHENNAI.

CC to M/S.K.DILLIGANESH Advocate on payment of necessary charges SR.5731

CRL OP.10688/2020

Date :17/07/2020

RVR 21/07/2020

सत्यमेव जयते

WEB COPY