

Crl.O.P. No.10980 of 2020

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J.

This case is posted today under the caption “for being mentioned” at the instance of the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner has requested this Court that in the above Criminal Original Petition, which was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 05.08.2020, the respondent counsel was not present on that day. Hence, , the appearance of the respondent's counsel in the in the cause title portion and in its relevant paragraphs has to be modified.

3. In view of the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court is inclined to delete the appearance of the learned counsel for the respondent in the **cause title portion** and also the paragraph Nos.4 and 5 of the said order. Except the deleted lines in paragraph Nos.4 and 5, others remain to be the same. The modified paragraph Nos.4 and 5, which reads under:

“4.It is seen from the FIR that the Enforcement Case
Information Report (ECIR) No.ECOF/CEZO/13/2017 dated

29.09.2017 was recorded by the Directorate of Enforcement, Chennai Zonal Office-II for investigation under the provisions of the PMLA, 2002. It was recorded on the basis of the instruction from Central Vigilance Commission, New Delhi. Thereafter, the CBI registered the regular case in R.C.No.219 2016 E 0019 for the offences under Sections 120B r/w 420 of IPC and Section 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988.

5.Heard Mr.M.Sathish Kumar, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner **and perused the materials available on record."**

4.With the above modifications, the Registry is directed to issue fresh order copy.

सत्यमेव जयते


8.12.2020

vsd/rts

WEB COPY

G.K.ILANTHIRAIYAN, J

vsd



Crl.O.P. No.10980 of 2020

WEB COPY

08.12.2020