

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 11.11.2020

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice M.M.SUNDRESH
and
The Hon'ble Mr. Justice D.KRISHNAKUMAR

H.C.P. No. 1153 of 2020

Mutharasi

..Petitioner

.Vs.

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.
 2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Veppery, Chennai.
 3. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Salem.
 4. The Inspector of Police, (Law and Order)
N-4, Fishing Harbour Police Station, Chennai.
- ..Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of Writ of Habeas Corpus calling for the records in connection with the order of detention passed by the 2nd respondent dated 23.03.2020 in BCDFGISSSV No.216 of 2020 against the petitioner son Mr.Ramesh @ Attu Ramesh male aged about 44 years son of Gajendran, who is confined at Central Prison, Salem and set aside the same and direct the respondents to produce the detenu before this Court and set him at liberty.

For Petitioner : Mr. M. Illiyas

For Respondents : Mr.R.Prathap Kumar,
Addl. Public Prosecutor

ORDER

[Order of the Court was made by M.M.SUNDRESH, J.]

The petitioner is the mother of Ramesh @ Attu Ramesh male aged about 44 years son of Gajendran, who is the detenu. The detenu has been detained by the second respondent by his order

in BCDFGISSSV No.216 of 2020 dated 23.03.2020, holding him to be a "Goonda", as contemplated under Section 2(f) of Tamil Nadu Act 14 of 1982. The said order is under challenge in this Habeas Corpus Petition.

2. We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondents. We have also perused the records produced by the Detaining Authority.

3. Though learned counsel for the petitioner has raised several other grounds to assail the order of detention, he has mainly focused his argument on the ground that the detaining authority, while detaining the detenu, has not furnished the legible copies of the documents relied on by him. This deprived the detenu from making effective representation. Therefore, on this sole ground, the detention order is liable to be quashed. Learned counsel further submitted that this Court has already set aside the detention order passed against the co-detenu by order dated 02.11.2020 in H.C.P.No.1224 of 2020.

4. On consideration of the submissions made on either side and upon perusal of the documents available on record, especially the confession statement pertaining to the similar case at Page Nos.134 to 136 of the booklet, it is clear that the detaining authority, by providing illegible copies of the documents, has taken away the rights of detenu to effectively defend himself against his detention. Thus the impugned detention order is liable to be set aside on this ground.

5. In the result, the Habeas Corpus Petition is allowed and the order of detention in BCDFGISSSV No.216 of 2020 dated 23.03.2020, passed by the second respondent is set aside. The detenu, namely, Ramesh @ Attu Ramesh male aged about 44 years son of Gajendran, is directed to be released forthwith unless his detention is required in connection with any other case.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(L.A)

//True Copy//

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1. The Secretary to Government,
Home, Prohibition and Excise Department,
Fort St. George, Chennai 600 009.

2. The Commissioner of Police,
Greater Chennai, Veppery, Chennai.
3. The Superintendent of Police,
Central Prison, Salem.
4. The Inspector of Police, (Law and Order)
N-4, Fishing Harbour Police Station, Chennai.
5. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court, Madras.
6. The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (Law & Order),
Fort St. George, Chennai-9

H.C.P. No.1153 of 2020

rr ii (04/12/2020)



WEB COPY