

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.07.2020

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.D.JAGADISH CHANDIRA

CrI.O.P.No. 10333 of 2020

Mr.Mathiyalagan

... Petitioner/Single Accused

Vs.

The State represented by,
The Inspector of Police,
S.H.O, Kammapuram Police Station,
Cuddalore District - 606 103.
(Crime No.330 of 2020)

... Respondent/Complainant

Prayer: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. to the petitioner on bail in the event of his arrest in respect of Crime No.330 of 2020 on the file of the Inspector of Police, S.H.O.Kammapuram Police Station, Cuddalore District, Pending investigation.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Balaji

For Respondent : Mr.M.Mohamed Riyaz
Additional Public Prosecutor

O R D E R

(The case has been heard through video conference)

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 379, 430 IPC r/w 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development Regulation) Act 1957 in Crime No.330 of 2020, on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner has transported half unit of sand illegally by using Bullock cart without any valid licence. Hence the complaint.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner is an innocent person and he has been falsely implicated in this case. On instruction, he would further submit that the petitioner is prepared to deposit some considerable amount to any charitable organization or association without prejudice to his contention and prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent submitted that the quantity of sand involved is half unit. He further submitted that there is no previous case pending against the petitioner. Hence, he opposed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. It is seen that there is no previous case against the petitioner and in order to curb the illegal sand mining activities, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.7,500/- (Rupees Seven Thousand Five Hundred only) as non refundable deposit to the concerned District Legal Services Authority, without prejudice to his rights and contentions before the trial Court.

6. Merely, because the petitioner has deposited the said amount, it would not amount to admission of his guilt. Therefore, it is open to the trial Court to deal with the case independently.

7. Taking note of the facts and circumstances, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions.

(a) The petitioner is directed to deposit a sum of Rs.7,500/- as non-refundable deposit either through RTGS/NEFT or cash in favour of the concerned District Legal Services Authority, within fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. Thereafter, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail in the event of his arrest or his appearance and on production of proof of deposit of the above amount and on further condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand only) with two sureties each for the likesum to the satisfaction of the police officer who intends to arrest, failing which the petition for anticipatory bail shall stand dismissed automatically and on further condition that the petitioner shall also give a letter of undertaking before the respondent/police that the said amount has been paid in respect of particular crime number.

[b] the petitioner and the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the surety bond and the respondent/police may obtain a copy of their Aadhar card or Bank pass Book to ensure their identity.

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police on every Monday and Friday at 10.30 am, until further orders.

[d] the petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.

[e] the petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.

[f] On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)AIR SCW 5560].

[g] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 229A IPC.

8. With the above directions, this Criminal Original Petition is ordered.

9. For reporting compliance, post on 30.07.2020.

-sd/-

09/07/2020

This order, on being produced, be punctually observed and carried into execution by all concerned

TRUE COPY

Sub-Assistant Registrar (Statistics/C.S.)
High Court, Madras - 600 104.

TO

1 THE JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE,
NO.II, VIRUDHACHALAM.

2 THE PUBLIC PROSECUTOR
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

3 THE INSPECTOR OF POLICE,
S.H.O. KAMMAPURAM POLICE STATION,
CUDDALORE DISTRICT -606103.

4 THE OFFICER INCHARGE
DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY,
CUDDALORE.

5 THE SECRETARY
TAMIL NADU LEGAL AID SERVICES AUTHORITY,
HIGH COURT, MADRAS.

CC to M/S. K.BALAJI Advocate on payment of necessary charges

CRL OP.10333/2020

Date :09/07/2020